PATENT

# IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In	re	application	of:	Yong	Lung	WET
----	----	-------------	-----	------	------	-----

Application No.:

09 / 834,059

Group No.: 3720

Filed: April

2001

Examiner:

J. G. Foster

For: TOOL BOX

Mail Stop Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

## AMENDMENT TRANSMITTAL

WARNING: Failure to file a complete response in compliance with § 1.135(c) leads to a reduction in patent term adjustment — See § 1.704(c)(7).

1. Transmitted herewith is an amendment for this application.

### **STATUS**

2.	Appli	cant is			
	XX	a small entity. A statement:			
		is attached.			
		🗓 was already filed.			
		other than a small entity.			
		(When using Express Mail, the	ER 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.8(a) and 1.10* e Express Mail label number is mandatory; il certification is optional.)		
l he	reby cer	tify that, on the date shown below, t	his correspondence is being:		
			MAILING	•	
K	deposite Box 145	ed with the United States Postal Servicio, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450	ce in an envelope addressed to Commissioner for R	Patents, P.O.	
		37 C.F.R. § 1.8(a)	37 C.F.R. § 1.10 °		
X	with suff	ficient postage as first class mail.	as "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee"		
			Mailing Label No	mandatory)	
		TI	RANSMISSION		
	facsimile	transmitted to the Patent and Trade	emark Office, (703)		
Date	: <u>9/16</u>	<u>/04</u>	Signature  Kathleen Sipos  (type or print name of person certifying)		

Only the date of filing (§ 1.6) will be the date used in a patent term adjustment calculation, although the date on any certificate of mailing or transmission under § 1.8 continues to be taken into account in determining timeliness. See § 1.703(f). Consider "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" (§ 1.10) or facsimile transmission (§ 1.6(d)) for the reply to be accorded the earliest possible filing date for patent term adjustment calculations.

(Amendment Transmittal [9-19]-page 1 of 4)

#### **EXTENSION OF TERM**

NOTE: "Extension of Time in Patent Cases (Supplement Amendments) — If a timely and complete response has been filed after a Non-Final Office Action, an extension of time is not required to permit filing and/or entry of an additional amendment after expiration of the shortened statutory period.

If a timely response has been filed after a Final Office Action, an extension of time is required to permit filing and/or entry of a Notice of Appeal or filing and/or entry of an additional amendment after expiration of the shortened statutory period unless the timely-filed response placed the application in condition for allowance. Of course, if a Notice of Appeal has been filed within the shortened statutory period, the period has ceased to run." Notice of December 10, 1985 (1061 O.G. 34-35).

NOTE: See 37 C.F.R. § 1.645 for extensions of time in interference proceedings, and 37 C.F.R. § 1.550(c) for extensions of time in reexamination proceedings.

NOTE: 37 C.F.R. § 1.704(b) ". . .an applicant shall be deemed to have failed to engage in reasonable efforts to conclude processing or examination of an application for the cumulative total of any periods of time in excess of three months that are taken to reply to any notice or action by the Office making any rejection, objection, argument, or other request, measuring such three-month period from the date the notice or action was mailed or given to the applicant, in which case the period of adjustment set forth in § 1.703 shall be reduced by the number of days, if any, beginning on the day after the date that is three months after the date of mailing or transmission of the Office communication notifying the applicant of the rejection, objection, argument, or other request and ending on the date the reply was filed. The period, or shortened statutory period, for reply that is set in the Office action or notice has no effect on the three-month period set forth in this paragraph."

 The proceedings herein are for a patent application and the provisions of 37 C.F.R. § 1.136 apply.

(complete (a) or (b), as applicable)

(a) Applicant petitions for an extension of time under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136 (fees: 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(a)(1)-(4) for the total number of months checked below:

Extension	Fee for other than	Fee for		
(months)	small entity	small entity		
☐ one month	\$ 110.00	\$ 55.00		
☐ two months	\$ 420.00	\$ 210.00		
	\$ 950.00	\$ 475.00		
☐ four months	\$ 1,480.00	\$ 740.00		

Fee: \$ 475.00

If an additional extension of time is required, please consider this a petition therefor.

(check and complete the next item, if applicable)

An extension for months has already been secured. The fee
paid therefor of \$ is deducted from the total fee due for the total
months of extension now requested.
Extension fee due with this request \$ 475.00

OR

(b) Applicant believes that no extension of term is required. However, this is a conditional petition is being made to provide for the possibility that applicant has inadvertently overlooked the need for a petition for extension of time.

(Amendment Transmittal [9-19]-page 2 of 4)

# FEE FOR CLAIMS

	(Col. 1)		(Col. 2)	(Col.	3) SMAI	L ENTITY	OTHER THAN A SMALL ENTITY
	CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT		HIGHEST NO PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR			ADDIT. FEE O	addit. <b>R</b> rate fee
TOTAL	· 19	MINUS	·· 20	= (	) x\$9=	\$	x\$18 = \$
INDEP	4	MINUS	3	= :	x\$43 =	\$ 43.00	x\$86 = \$
☐ FIR	ST PRESENTATIO	N OF MUL	TIPLE DEP. CLA	dM	+ \$145	= \$	+\$290 = \$
					TOTAL ADDIT. FEE	\$ 43.00	R TOTAL ADDIT. FEE \$
WAF	box in Col. 1 of a RNING: "After fin	a prior ame al rejection requiremen	ndment or the nor action (§ 1.11 t of form which	umber of c 3) amendm has been i	elaims origina ents may be nade." 37 C.	illy filed. made cancelling F.R. § 1.116(a)	d in the appropriate g claims or complying (emphasis added).
(c)	☐ No addit		complete (c) of for claims is	, -	• •	<del>?</del> )	
(0)		ional lee	ioi ciaii iis is	OR	•		
(d)	▼ Total add	ditional fe	e for claims		\$ <u>43.00</u>	)	
	Attached is a Authorization  to Depos  to Credit	is hereby it Accour card as	ck in the ck I money made to chart No.	order orge the	of \$475. in the amount o	ount of \$ <u>4.</u> f \$	mo. extension of ti 3.00 for extra claim
WAR	form PTC NING: Credit ca		on should <b>not</b> h	e included	on this form	as it may beco	ome public
		dditional	fees required			•	erpayment in the
	A duplicate o	f this pap	er is attache	d.			
					(4	nt Transmitt LE	

### FEE DEFICIENCY

NOTE: If there is a fee deficiency and there is no authorization to charge an account, additional fees are necessary to cover the additional time consumed in making up the original deficiency. If the maximum, six-month period has expired before the deficiency is noted and corrected, the application is held abandoned. In those instances where authorization to charge is included, processing delays are encountered in returning the papers to the PTO Finance Branch in order to apply these charges prior to action on the cases. Authorization to charge the deposit account for any fee deficiency should be checked. See the Notice of April 7, 1986, (1065 O.G. 31-33).

AND/OR

6.	Z	If any additional extension and/or fee is required, charge Account
		No. <u>23-0442</u>

If any additional fee for claims is required, charge Account No. 23-0442

Reg. No.: 25,865

Tel. No.: (5203) 261-1234

Customer No.: 004955

signature of practitioner

James R. Frederick of Ware, Fressola,

Van Der Sluys & Adolphson LLP
(type or print name of practitioner)

Bradford Green, Building Five

755 Main Street

PO. Address

P. O. Box 224

Monroe, CT 06468

(Amendment Transmittal [9-19]-page 4 of 4)